

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

				1 ID	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,116	02/21/2002	Roy Wade Bowman	03221.0003U2	8546	
23859	7590 08/27/2003				
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000 999 PEACHT			ISABELLA	ISABELLA, DAVID J	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3738	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	10/081,116	BOWMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		DAVID J ISABELLA	3738
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
Period fo	• •		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the province of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 August 2003 .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 20-26 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.	
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> i	s/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in App	olication No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Gee the attached detailed Office action for a	ll Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachmen	t(s)		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 20-26 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because a separate search to the method of manufacture is required. This search is separate and distinct from the search for the prosthesis. The separate searches satisfies the serious burden requirements.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Figures 2 and 3 do not provide clear illustration of the prosthesis.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3738

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the features of the interstitial film between the first and second film along the commonside edges must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20 as worded is incomplete. The process for manufacturing a two layer breast prosthesis requires three films that define a first and second interior volume. As worded the claim would not result in a prosthesis having first and second interior volumes.

Claim 21, see rejection to claim 20 supra.

Claim 22, what is meant by "spontaneously". It is not clear what method steps are encompassed by this term.

Claim 23, it is not clear how the adjective "interstitial" further defines the film. It does not appear that use of the term is commensurate with the dictionary definition of

Art Unit: 3738

the same. Are the first and second plastic films, as claimed, the same as the first and second film envelopes of claim 20?

Claim 24, see rejection to claim 23 supra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Snyder, Jr (5902335).

Snyder, Jr. discloses a lightweight breast prosthesis having a first section and a second section. The first section has a gel-like consistency and the second section has a density reducing agent to provide lightweight prosthesis. The prosthesis is formed from a backing film, outer sheet and inner sheet forming a first and second chamber. The prosthesis is made by making a mold having ther shape of the outer surface. The cavity is filled with mixture of gel reactants and microspheres which is heat cured. The first cavity is filled with heat curable materials. The sheets can be welded with access holes being provided into each cavity to provide for the charging of the interior volumes with the appropriate reactants. Since the claims appear to be incomplete, the rejection

Art Unit: 3738

is made under 35USC102/103, as the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be readily determined.

Claim 21, the steps as claimed is disclosed by Synder, Jr. See columns 4 and 5.

Page 5

Claim 22, it is not clear what method steps encompass the step of "spontaneously". The holes of Synder, Jr are sealed prior to curing. In so far as definite, it appears that this step meets the limitation as claimed.

Claim 23, see film 34.

Claim 24, see column 2, lines 5+.

Claims 25, see columns 2, lines 18+ and column 3, lines 35+.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738